



Janet Napolitano
Governor

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

1110 West Washington Street • Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 771-2300 • www.azdeq.gov



Stephen A. Owens
Director

Assessment of Qualification for Treatment under the Arizona Natural and Exceptional Events Policy for the High Particulate (PM₁₀) Concentration Events in the Nogales, Arizona Area on February 6, 2007

Background

The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) operates monitors at the Post Office in Nogales, Arizona for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} and at the Fire Station in Nogales, Sonora for PM₁₀. Federal Reference Method (FRM) filter based samples are collected at both locations. Beta-Attenuation Monitor Systems (BAMS) collect hourly concentration data at the Post Office site.

During the evening of February 6, 2007, a strong night-time temperature inversion set up in the Nogales area. With no significant ventilating winds available to break up the surface inversion, the inversion intensified and set up a drainage flow from the higher terrain to the south in Mexico through Nogales, Sonora and into Nogales, Arizona.

The event brought significant elevated ambient concentrations of PM₁₀ that exceeded the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) at the ADEQ Nogales

Post Office monitors (BAMS). The fact that ambient concentrations exceed the NAAQS satisfies the criteria in 40 CFR 50.1(j) that the event “affects air quality.”

Preliminary indications were that emissions from sources in Mexico, which are not subject to control by the Arizona SIP, may have contributed to the event.

A PM₁₀ state implementation plan (SIP) exists for Nogales, Arizona. All appropriate SIP control measures were in place during the event demonstrating, per 40 CFR 50.1(j), that the event “is not reasonably controllable or preventable,” if in fact emissions from Mexico caused the exceedance.

Elevated PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentrations were measured in the Nogales area. The following are the key PM monitor readings for the monitors examined in this report:

Monitor (Operator/Type)	AQS ID*	24-hr Avg PM ₁₀ or PM _{2.5}	1-hr Max PM ₁₀ or PM _{2.5}	Time of Max 1-hr	Flag**
NOGALES AREA					
Nogales AZ Post Office PM₁₀ (ADEQ/BAM)	04-023-0004 (3)	180	599	2200	RL
Nogales AZ Post Office PM_{2.5} (ADEQ/BAM)	04-023-0004 (3)	28	78	2200	None

* EPA Air Quality System Identification Number

** 24-hr PM₁₀ concentration influenced by exceptional event (international transport) to be flagged.

Type Abbreviations: BAM – Beta-Attenuation Mass Monitor (Continuous monitor)

The preliminary findings from this analysis were presented at a stakeholders meeting on June 11, 2008, in Phoenix, Arizona, and on June 17, 2008 in Nogales, Arizona. Public comment was solicited on the preliminary findings from May 28 through June 26, 2008. During that time, no comments were received from the public. ADEQ has




finalized this demonstration, which was made available for public comment from August 11, 2008, through September 10, 2008. Any comments that were received were forwarded to EPA with this demonstration pursuant to 40 CFR 50.14(c)(3)(i).

CENTRAL PHOENIX							
	Hr	T(F)	RH	Rn	Spd	Max	Dir
15-Phx Encanto	1	51	66	-	1	2	NW
	2	52	59	-	1	3	W
	3	48	68	-	0	1	NE
	4	48	69	-	1	5	N
	5	46	73	-	0	1	NE
	6	45	77	-	0	1	N
	7	45	77	-	0	2	N
	8	46	73	-	1	2	NE
	9	55	58	-	1	2	N
	10	64	37	-	1	4	E
	11	69	30	-	1	4	E
	12	74	24	-	2	5	E
	1	76	20	-	4	8	E
	2	79	16	-	4	7	SE
	3	81	14	-	3	7	SE
	4	82	13	-	2	5	S
	5	81	14	-	1	3	S
	6	76	19	-	0	1	SW
	7	68	24	-	0	0	NW
	8	62	32	-	0	0	N
	9	59	39	-	0	0	W
	10	56	50	-	0	0	N
	11	53	63	-	0	0	NE
	12	43	65	-	3	7	E

SOUTHEAST PHOENIX							
	Hr	T(F)	RH	Rn	Spd	Max	Dir
22-Queen Creek	1	53	45	-	1	2	NE
	2	52	49	-	3	5	SE
	3	49	57	-	2	4	SE
	4	49	51	-	3	5	SW
	5	49	48	-	2	7	E
	6	49	52	-	4	6	NE
	7	44	60	-	2	5	SW
	8	42	74	-	2	6	S
	9	51	54	-	1	4	W
	10	63	31	-	2	4	W
	11	68	24	-	3	7	NW
	12	71	21	-	4	8	NW
	1	75	19	-	3	9	N
	2	78	15	-	3	7	NE
	3	81	13	-	2	7	SW
	4	81	14	-	1	5	SE
	5	82	12	-	1	2	SE
	6	77	14	-	1	6	NW
	7	68	24	-	2	3	NW
	8	64	27	-	3	4	N
	9	63	28	-	3	7	NE
	10	56	42	-	2	4	E
	11	47	65	-	4	6	SE
	12	50	55	-	4	6	SE

NWS-NOGALES							
	Hr	T(F)	VR	Dust	Spd	Gust	Dir
NWS-NOGALES	1	43	10	-	0	0	-
	2	42	10	-	0	0	-
	3	42	10	-	0	0	-
	4	40	10	-	0	0	-
	5	39	10	-	0	0	-
	6	38	10	-	3	3	S
	7	35	10	-	0	0	-
	8	38	10	-	0	0	-
	9	47	10	-	0	0	-
	10	57	10	-	0	0	-
	11	65	10	-	0	0	-
	12	71	10	-	3	3	SW
	1	75	10	-	5	5	S
	2	75	10	-	3	3	NW
	3	77	10	-	9	9	S
	4	76	10	-	5	5	NW
	5	75	10	-	9	9	W
	6	69	10	-	5	5	W
	7	58	10	-	3	3	NE
	8	56	10	-	8	8	E
	9	54	10	-	7	7	E
	10	52	10	-	7	7	E
	11	50	10	-	0	0	-
	12	48	10	-	0	0	-

Event Contrib. Analysis			
Hourly PM ₁₀ Conc. (µg/m ³)			
MONITORS:		Hr	1 2
1-NOG PO (BAMS)		1	274 55
2-PM 2.5 NOG (BAMS)		2	206 47
		3	136 24
		4	89 21
24-Hr. Avg PM ₁₀		5	62 24
		6	75 21
Monitor: with I/W/O		7	154 33
1-NOG P		8	232 45
2-PM 2.5		9	264 59
		10	53 26
> NAAQS		11	52 14
Pink=Event Contrib.		12	40 5
Conclusion: As shown above, the PM ₁₀ concentration would have been below the NAAQS "BUT FOR" the event contribution (hours highlighted in pink).			
		1	20 0
		2	13 0
		3	22 0
		4	9 0
		5	9 0
		6	38 0
		7	237 22
		8	487 40
		9	417 49
		10	501 60
		11	599 78
		12	337 49




PARKER							
	Hr	T(F)	RH	Rn	Spd	Max	Dir
08-Parker	1	49	55	-	3	5	E
	2	50	43	-	3	7	NE
	3	47	55	-	2	5	NW
	4	45	65	-	3	7	NE
	5	43	62	-	3	8	NW
	6	44	58	-	5	8	N
	7	43	60	-	3	8	NE
	8	43	62	-	2	6	N
	9	46	59	-	4	6	NE
	10	53	49	-	6	8	NE
	11	60	38	-	6	9	NE
	12	67	31	-	5	8	NE
	1	72	24	-	5	8	N
	2	75	21	-	4	8	N
	3	77	19	-	2	6	NW
	4	78	19	-	2	4	E
	5	78	19	-	1	2	SE
	6	75	25	-	2	3	E
	7	70	31	-	1	2	NW
	8	62	52	-	2	4	S
	9	59	44	-	1	2	NE
	10	56	46	-	2	4	E
	11	55	43	-	1	3	N
	12	49	54	-	2	4	NE

COOLIDGE							
	Hr	T(F)	RH	Rn	Spd	Max	Dir
05-Coolidge	1	53	47	-	4	6	NE
	2	48	57	-	3	6	SW
	3	48	57	-	3	6	SW
	4	46	60	-	2	4	S
	5	43	64	-	3	6	SE
	6	40	72	-	3	6	SE
	7	38	77	-	2	6	S
	8	40	72	-	2	4	NW
	9	48	60	-	2	4	SW
	10	59	38	-	2	4	N
	11	65	25	-	1	3	NW
	12	70	21	-	3	8	NE
	1	75	17	-	4	9	NE
	2	79	13	-	4	9	E
	3	80	12	-	3	7	SE
	4	80	13	-	2	4	SW
	5	81	13	-	1	3	SW
	6	76	15	-	0	0	SE
	7	69	23	-	2	7	N
	8	65	26	-	2	5	W
	9	57	43	-	3	5	SE
	10	53	53	-	4	6	SE
	11	50	59	-	2	5	SE
	12	48	62	-	2	5	E

MARICOPA							
	Hr	T(F)	RH	Rn	Spd	Max	Dir
06-Maricopa	1	49	59	-	1	3	S
	2	46	67	-	2	5	S
	3	45	64	-	3	6	S
	4	44	64	-	2	4	S
	5	42	70	-	1	3	NE
	6	41	70	-	2	6	SW
	7	40	70	-	3	7	SW
	8	42	62	-	4	7	S
	9	47	56	-	3	5	S
	10	55	41	-	2	6	SW
	11	63	31	-	2	6	N
	12	68	27	-	4	7	NE
	1	73	21	-	5	10	NE
	2	76	18	-	3	9	NE
	3	79	15	-	2	6	E
	4	81	14	-	2	6	W
	5	80	15	-	2	5	NW
	6	76	19	-	1	3	NW
	7	68	27	-	2	3	W
	8	64	31	-	2	3	SW
	9	59	37	-	3	5	SW
	10	56	45	-	4	7	S
	11	53	49	-	3	5	S
	12	52	50	-	4	6	S

Historical Distribution			
5-Yr. Dist. of Values (µg/m ³)			
MONITORS:		Column Index	
1-NOG PO (BAMS)		Yr	- All Data (5-Yrs)
		Sea	- Data for Winter season only (5-Yrs)
Cum. Freq.	Mon 1		
	Yr	Sea	
Min	4	5	
0.5%	8	7	
1.0%	8	8	
2.5%	13	9	
5%	17	15	
10%	22	25	
25%	35	48	
50%	56	96	
75%	96	138	
90%	146	194	
95%	180	233	
97.5%	213	285	
99.0%	244	322	
99.5%	291	327	
Max	351	351	
Flagged Value	180	28	
Conclusion: Flagged Value is exceptional in nature (eg. greater than 95% of all data)			



YUMA							
	Hr	T(F)	RH	Rn	Spd	Max	Dir
02-Yuma Valley	1	50	56	-	2	4	E
	2	49	54	-	1	4	N
	3	47	58	-	1	4	NW
	4	48	56	-	2	8	N
	5	48	53	-	2	6	SW
	6	48	53	-	4	6	NE
	7	49	52	-	5	7	NE
	8	50	46	-	2	4	N
	9	52	48	-	1	4	NE
	10	59	36	-	3	5	NE
	11	65	30	-	6	13	E
	12	69	25	-	9	14	E
02-Yuma Valley	1	73	22	-	6	10	NE
	2	76	19	-	2	7	E
	3	78	18	-	2	5	SE
	4	79	20	-	4	6	S
	5	78	23	-	5	6	S
	6	74	33	-	3	4	S
	7	69	38	-	2	3	SW
	8	64	42	-	2	4	SW
	9	61	43	-	2	3	NE
	10	55	60	-	2	4	SE
	11	52	67	-	1	7	S
	12	51	66	-	1	2	N

Assessment Under the Technical Criteria Document (TCD)

1. Properly qualify and validate the air quality measurement to be flagged. As this was not a filter sampling date (1-in-6 run day), only data from the continuous analyzers were examined. The air quality monitoring data were reviewed by ADEQ, the agency responsible for operation of the monitor. All hourly PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} readings from the Nogales BAMS monitors were found to be valid for February 6th. No specific local sources were reported as significantly contributing to the air quality episode.

2. Review suspected contributing sources. The event began on the evening of February 6th. There was not a significant fraction of PM_{2.5} measured during this episode. This is typical for the arid southwest, except when smoke from smoldering fires can be a significant source of PM_{2.5}. Lack of any significant transport winds would indicate that the emissions are probably from nearby the monitor. The plot of hourly PM₁₀ concentration data in the upper right corner of Figure 1, in conjunction with the wind direction data, confirms the identical timing of the transport from the south across the border when the elevated PM concentrations began. It is clear from the PM_{2.5} data presented for information purposes in the Event Contribution Analysis table there was not an overwhelming contribution from wood fire smoke that had been seen in other events. This event appears to have significantly more not specific coarse dust, probably from dirt roads, than the January 1, 2007, episode, which was almost all PM_{2.5} from smoke.

3. Examine all air quality monitoring information. Data from all monitors in the network were reviewed. Monitors from the Nogales area are summarized in the table in the Background section of this assessment. Pursuant to 40 CFR 50.14(c)(3)(iii)(C), the "Historical Distribution" Table in Figure 1 has been included to demonstrate that the event is associated with measured concentrations in excess of normal historical fluctuations, including background (e.g., concentrations greater than the 95th percentile).

4. Examine the meteorological conditions before and during the event. Figure 1 includes a map showing the terrain and drainage patterns of the Nogales area. Cold air

forming in the mountains south of the border will flow northward into the Santa Cruz River Drainage Basin. National Weather Service data from the Nogales Airport, northeast of the city, showed calm to light and variable winds in the evening hours from the east or south. The data from ADEQ's wind monitor are included in the PM daily report sheet (see attachments). At the Post Office, winds shifted to being from the south at approximately 6:00 p.m. at 2 miles per hour. The concentrations picked up on the evening of February 6th when the winds shifted and started moving out of the south. It appears the source is coming from Mexico, since there are no sources in the United States between the monitor and the border.

5. Perform a qualitative attribution to emission source(s). All evidence indicates the elevated PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentrations in the Nogales, Arizona area can be attributed to dust emissions from sources south of Nogales, Arizona in Nogales, Sonora. The data available for this analysis do not allow for development of a source specific emission allocation. The hourly concentration data do not show any significant source other than the drainage dust and smoke associated with the event.

6. Estimation of Contribution from Source or Event. The primary source appears to be drainage dust from Mexico for which there is no effective or efficient method to estimate the relative contributions from specific sources. The demonstration analysis contained in this report establishes the linkage between the measurements to be flagged and the event, thus satisfying the requirement in 40 CFR 50.14(c)(3)(iii)(B). Pursuant to 40 CFR 50.14(c)(3)(iii)(D), the "Event Contrib. Analysis" Table in Figure 1 has been included to demonstrate that there would have been no exceedances or violations but for the event (e.g., the contribution during the event overwhelmed the 24-hour average).

7. Determination that a Natural or Exceptional Event Contributed To an Exceedance. Based on this analysis, the event satisfies the requirement in 40 CFR 50.1(j) that the elevated concentration at Nogales Post Office monitor was attributed to an exceptional event caused by international transport of emissions into the United States.

Conclusion

International transport of emissions. The elevated PM₁₀ event on February 6, 2007, in Nogales, Arizona was the result of emissions from Mexico which were transported into the United States in a slow moving drainage flow originating in the mountains south of Nogales, Sonora.

The fact that all appropriate SIP control measures were in place and emissions from international transport caused the exceedance demonstrates that, per 40 CFR 50.1(j), that the event "is not reasonably controllable or preventable."

The "other" flag (RL) was applied to the PM₁₀ measurements, as the monitors would have been below the NAAQS but for the contribution of the event.

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration

**QUALITY CONTROLLED LOCAL
CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA
(final)**


National Climatic Data Center
Federal Building
151 Patton Avenue
Asheville, North Carolina 28801

**HOURLY OBSERVATIONS TABLE
NOGALES INTERNATIONAL ARPT (03196)
NOGALES , AZ
(02/2007)**

Elevation: 3908 ft. above sea level
Latitude: 31.421
Longitude: -110.846
Data Version: VER2

Date	Time (LST)	Station Type	Sky Conditions	Visibility (SM)	Weather Type	Dry Bulb Temp		Wet Bulb Temp		Dew Point Temp		Rel Humd %	Wind Speed (MPH)	Wind Dir	Wind Gusts (MPH)	Station Pressure (in. hg)	Press Tend	Net 3-hr Chg (mb)	Sea Level Pressure (in. hg)	Report Type	Precip. Total (in)	Alti- meter (in. hg)
						(F)	(C)	(F)	(C)	(F)	(C)											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
06	0054	12	CLR	10.00		43	6.1	33	0.6	17	-8.3	35	0	000		26.18			30.11	AA		30.23
06	0154	12	CLR	10.00		42	5.6	33	0.4	18	-7.8	38	0	000		26.18	6	003	30.11	AA		30.23
06	0254	12	CLR	10.00		42	5.6	33	0.3	17	-8.3	36	0	000		26.17			30.10	AA		30.22
06	0354	12	CLR	10.00		40	4.4	31	-0.3	17	-8.3	39	0	000		26.17			30.10	AA		30.22
06	0454	12	CLR	10.00		39	3.9	31	-0.6	17	-8.3	41	0	000		26.17	5	003	30.11	AA		30.22
06	0554	12	CLR	10.00		38	3.3	31	-0.7	18	-7.8	44	3	160		26.18			30.13	AA		30.23
06	0654	12	CLR	10.00		35	1.7	29	-1.7	18	-7.8	50	0	000		26.19			30.16	AA		30.24
06	0754	12	CLR	10.00		38	3.3	31	-0.7	18	-7.8	44	0	000		26.20	3	008	30.19	AA		30.25
06	0854	12	CLR	10.00		47	8.3	36	2.1	19	-7.2	33	0	000		26.21			30.18	AA		30.26
06	0954	12	CLR	10.00		57	13.9	42	5.3	21	-6.1	25	0	000		26.21			30.16	AA		30.26
06	1054	12	CLR	10.00		65	18.3	44	6.8	17	-8.3	16	0	000		26.21	0	003	30.16	AA		30.26
06	1154	12	CLR	10.00		71	21.7	47	8.1	15	-9.4	12	3	230		26.19			30.12	AA		30.24
06	1254	12	CLR	10.00		75	23.9	47	8.5	9	-12.8	8	5	190		26.15			30.08	AA		30.20
06	1354	12	CLR	10.00		75	23.9	48	8.6	10	-12.2	8	3	300		26.13	8	025	30.05	AA		30.17
06	1454	12	CLR	10.00		77	25.0	49	9.2	11	-11.7	8	9	160		26.12			30.04	AA		30.16
06	1554	12	CLR	10.00		76	24.4	48	8.8	10	-12.2	8	5	310		26.11			30.03	AA		30.15
06	1654	12	CLR	10.00		75	23.9	48	8.8	12	-11.1	9	9	260		26.12	5	005	30.04	AA		30.16
06	1754	12	CLR	10.00		69	20.6	45	7.2	12	-11.1	11	5	260		26.13			30.07	AA		30.17
06	1854	12	CLR	10.00		58	14.4	41	4.8	16	-8.9	19	3	090		26.13			30.11	AA		30.17
06	1954	12	CLR	10.00		56	13.3	39	4.1	15	-9.4	20	3	030		26.15	3	009	30.12	AA		30.19
06	2054	12	CLR	10.00		54	12.2	39	3.7	16	-8.9	22	8	090		26.15			30.10	AA		30.19
06	2154	12	CLR	10.00		52	11.1	38	3.1	16	-8.9	24	7	080		26.15			30.09	AA		30.19
06	2254	12	CLR	10.00		50	10.0	37	2.7	17	-8.3	27	0	000		26.15	3	004	30.09	AA		30.20
06	2354	12	CLR	10.00		48	8.9	36	2.1	17	-8.3	29	0	000		26.15			30.08	AA		30.20

Dynamically generated Wed Aug 08 17:32:53 EDT 2007 via <http://cdo.ncdc.noaa.gov/qclcd/QCLCD>


AIR QUALITY DIVISION
PM10BAM.STD Daily Concentration Report (ug/m3)

08/07/2007

For 02/06/2007
Preliminary Data QA LEVEL - 2

Place ID: 16511

Name: NOGALES POST OFFICE



Record Time	PM Average	Wind Speed (MPH)	Wind Direction	Temperature(F)	Relative Humidity
06-FEB-2007 00:00:00	274	.9	162		
06-FEB-2007 01:00:00	206	.7	172		
06-FEB-2007 02:00:00	136	.7	166		
06-FEB-2007 03:00:00	89	1.1	195		
06-FEB-2007 04:00:00	62	.9	166		
06-FEB-2007 05:00:00	75	.9	182		
06-FEB-2007 06:00:00	154	1.8	188		
06-FEB-2007 07:00:00	232	1.6	184		
06-FEB-2007 08:00:00	264	.4	354		
06-FEB-2007 09:00:00	53	1.1	343		
06-FEB-2007 10:00:00	52	1.3	348		
06-FEB-2007 11:00:00	40	2.7	39		
06-FEB-2007 12:00:00	20	2.9	209		
06-FEB-2007 13:00:00	13	3.8	217		
06-FEB-2007 14:00:00	22	3.8	263		
06-FEB-2007 15:00:00	9	4.5	253		
06-FEB-2007 16:00:00	9	6.5	254		
06-FEB-2007 17:00:00	38	4.7	245		
06-FEB-2007 18:00:00	237	1.8	195		
06-FEB-2007 19:00:00	487	2	196		
06-FEB-2007 20:00:00	417	.7	324		
06-FEB-2007 21:00:00	501	2	195		
06-FEB-2007 22:00:00	599	3.6	208		
06-FEB-2007 23:00:00	337	3.4	212		


AIR QUALITY DIVISION
PM25BAM.LC Daily Concentration Report (ug/m3)

05/22/2008

For 02/06/2007
Preliminary Data QA LEVEL - 2

Place ID: 16511

Name: NOGALES POST OFFICE



Record Time	PM Average	Wind Speed (MPH)	Wind Direction	Temperature(F)	Relative Humidity
06-FEB-2007 00:00:00	55	.9	162		
06-FEB-2007 01:00:00	47	.7	172		
06-FEB-2007 02:00:00	24	.7	166		
06-FEB-2007 03:00:00	21	1.1	195		
06-FEB-2007 04:00:00	24	.9	166		
06-FEB-2007 05:00:00	21	.9	182		
06-FEB-2007 06:00:00	33	1.8	188		
06-FEB-2007 07:00:00	45	1.6	184		
06-FEB-2007 08:00:00	59	.4	354		
06-FEB-2007 09:00:00	26	1.1	343		
06-FEB-2007 10:00:00	14	1.3	348		
06-FEB-2007 11:00:00	5	2.7	39		
06-FEB-2007 12:00:00	0	2.9	209		
06-FEB-2007 13:00:00	0	3.8	217		
06-FEB-2007 14:00:00	0	3.8	263		
06-FEB-2007 15:00:00	0	4.5	253		
06-FEB-2007 16:00:00	0	6.5	254		
06-FEB-2007 17:00:00	0	4.7	245		
06-FEB-2007 18:00:00	22	1.8	195		
06-FEB-2007 19:00:00	40	2	196		
06-FEB-2007 20:00:00	49	.7	324		
06-FEB-2007 21:00:00	60	2	195		
06-FEB-2007 22:00:00	78	3.6	208		
06-FEB-2007 23:00:00	49	3.4	212		